

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,895	01/17/2002	Hirokazu Yamagata	SEL 298	7909
7590 09/01/2004 COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD. Suite 2850 200 West Adams St.			EXAMINER	
			GEYER, SCOTT B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	<u>-</u> '
Chicago, IL 6	0606		DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		NU				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/051,895	YAMAGATA ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott B. Geyer	2829				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this con NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Au	<u>ıgust 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	-					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-77</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-42,44-50,52-58,60,61,70-72,74,76 a</u> 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,62,64-66 and 73</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,5-7,43,51,59,63,67-69 and 75</u> is/a 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.  and 77 is/are allowed.  are objected to.					
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 January 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex </li> </ul>	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National s	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0504</u> .		Informal Patent Application (PTO	)-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 10, 2004 has been entered.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The references cited within the IDS, filed on May 4, 2004 have been considered.

### Claim Objections

**3.** Claims 43, 51, 59, 67 and 75 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In line 2 for each of claims 43, 51, 59, 67 and 75: change "wherein the anode is wiping and cleaning" to - - wherein the anode is wiped and cleaned - -;

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,895

Art Unit: 2829

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

**5.** Claims 64, 65 and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim **64** recites the limitation "the organic resin material" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim **65** recites the limitation "the inorganic insulating material" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim **73** recites the limitation "the inorganic insulating material" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/051,895

Art Unit: 2829

6 and 7 of Yamagata et al. ('198).

7. Claims 1, 4, 62 and 66 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 6 and 7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,720,198 (Yamagata et al.). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all of the limitations of claims 1, 4, 62 and 66 of the instant application are met by the elements of claims 1,

Page 4

Specifically, for claim 1 of the instant application, see claim 1 of 6,720,198.

For claim 4 of the instant application, see claim 7 of 6,720,198.

For claim 62 of the instant application, see claims 1 and 6 of 6,720,198.

For claim 66 of the instant application, see claim 7 of 6,720,198.

### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 2, 3, 5-7, 63 and 67-69 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art of record and to the examiner's knowledge does not teach or render obvious, at least to the skilled artisan, the limitations of each of claims 2, 3 and 5-7; Claims 2, 3 and 5-7 are dependent upon rejected claim 1. The prior art of record and to the examiner's knowledge does not teach or render obvious, at least to the skilled artisan, the limitations of each of claims 63 and 67-69; Claims 63 and 67-69 are dependent upon rejected claim 62.

Art Unit: 2829

- 9. Claims 8-42, 44-50, 52-58, 60, 61, 70-74, 76 and 77 are allowed.
- **10.** The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record and to the examiner's knowledge does not teach or render obvious, at least to the skilled artisan, the instant invention regarding:

The process as recited in independent claim 8 wherein a transparent conductive film is formed on a second insulating film and the transparent conductive film is wiped and cleaned with a wiping and cleaning material;

The process as recited in independent claims 9, 46 and 54 wherein a first film comprising an organic resin material is formed over a thin film transistor and a second film comprising an inorganic insulating material is formed over the first film, combined with a step of wiping and cleaning the surface of an anode;

The process as recited in independent claim 17 wherein an insulating film is formed over an anode and the surface of the insulating film is wiped and cleaned with a wiping and cleaning material;

The process as recited in independent claim 18 wherein an insulating film comprising an organic resin material is formed over an anode and the surface of the insulating film is wiped and cleaned with a wiping and cleaning material;

The process as recited in independent claim 26 wherein a transparent conductive film is formed on a second insulating film and a third insulating film is formed on the transparent conductive film, combined with a step of wiping and cleaning the surface of the third insulating film with a wiping and cleaning material;

The process as recited in independent claim 27 wherein an anode is formed on a second insulating film and a third insulating film is formed on the anode, combined with a step of wiping and cleaning the surface of the third insulating film with a wiping and cleaning material;

The process as recited in independent claim 38 wherein a transparent conductive film is formed on the surface of a second insulating film, combined with a step of patterning the transparent conductive film to form an anode, wherein the anode is subsequently wiped and cleaned with a wiping and cleaning material;

The process as recited in independent claim 70 wherein a first insulating film is formed over a thin film transistor and a second insulating film is formed over the first film, combined with a step of wiping and cleaning the surface of an anode.

## Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott B. Geyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1958. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays, between 10:00am - 6:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Tokar can be reached on (571)272-1812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,895

Art Unit: 2829

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 7

SBG August 24, 2004

SCOTT GEYER PATENT EXAMINER